

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,481	10/829,481 04/21/2004		Aloys Wobben	970054.401RI	5874	
500	7590 08/12/2005			EXAMINER		
		UAL PROPERT	PONOMARENKO, NICHOLAS			
	701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 6300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092				2834		
				DATE MAILED: 08/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u>H</u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
055 4.45 0	10/829,481	WOBBEN, ALOYS
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
7, 144 HO DATE 441	Nicholas Ponomarenko	2834
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ul>	nis action is non-final.  vance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 April 2004 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Item 1.	a) accepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list.</li> </ul>	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0. Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal D 6) Other:	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

- 1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the
- -- "energy transfer unit is fixed internally to the pylon" (claim 4),
- -- "circuit breaker" (claim12, 13),
- -- "limiting wall" (claim 16),
- -- "platform ... base" (claim 17),

must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New

Art Unit: 2834

Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. As far as they can be understood, Claims 1-3, 6-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by German patent DE 196 15 795 A1.

No feature in the claims distinguishes itself from the prior art.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German patent DE 196 15 795 A1 or Kirschbaum (US 4,357,542), and further in view of Hickey (US 5,254,876).

DE '795 teaches wind power installation with a platform on which a transformer is arranged and power network has overland power lines substantially at the height of the transformer level, essentially as claimed, but '795 fails to teach internal installation of the transformer in the pylon. Patent '542 has similar teaching of a wind power installation but without transformer. Patent '876 teaches wind power installation with power unit (could be a transformer) installed inside the pylon.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design wind power installation as taught by patents DE '795 or '542 and to install transformer inside of the pylon as taught by patent '876 in order to utilize available space inside the pylon. Especially since applicant failed to show that his installation is any different from the common sense design choice.

#### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant(s) disclosure.
- 7. When the claims are amended, applicant(s) should state in detail where in the original disclosure or in the drawings the amended features find support. **No new matter may be introduced**.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas Ponomarenko whose telephone number is (571) 272- 2033, Fax: (571) 273-2033, or to his SPE Darren Schuberg (571) 272-2044.
- 9. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 2800 Customer Service Phone: (571) 272-2815

np

August 10, 2005

May -

Nicholas Ponomarenko Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800